

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac. 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,791	08/10/2001	Muhammad A. Sharaf	442211	7448	
7590 04:07/2005 KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.LL.C			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHOI, LI	CHOI, LING SIU	
3603-E CHAIN	BRIDGE ROAD				
FAIRFAX, VA	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1713		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{U}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
055	09/927,791	SHARAF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ling-Siu Choi	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8-13 and 19-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 10-13 is/are solution 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) □ is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine. 	a) accepted or b) objected the discount of the discount of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See the drawing (s) is object of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/927,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

non-final.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed January 12, 2005. Claims 3-7 and 14-18 were canceled and claims 19-21 have been added. The Amendment made on claim 8 is supported on lines 16-18 of page 11 and new claims 19-20 are supported on lines 1-3 of page 12. In view of the Amendment, claims 8-9 are allowable over Smith et al. (US 5,747,249) and Ansorge et al. (US 5,912,118). Since claim 9 is objected, the present Office Action is made as

2. Claims 8-9 are allowable because claims 8-9 are drawn to a calibration standard comprising the use of at least four polynucleotides each having different known length and each being labeled with a different fluorescent dye having a distinctive spectral profile having a peak. However, such limitations are not reflected on Groups I and V. Thus, Group I and V will not rejoined with Group IV (claims 8-9). If the non-elected claim is canceled and the following objection is obviated, the application could be passed to issue.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 9, lines 1-2, "the fluorescent labeled at least four polynucleotides" is suggested to be changed to -- the fluorescent dye labeled at least four polynucleotides--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,791

Art Unit: 1713

40 p

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8-9 and 19-21 are allowable over the closest references: Smith et al. (US 5,747,249) and Ansorge et al. (US 5,912,118).

Calibration Standard for a fluorescent polynucleotide separation apparatus, comprising

at least four polynucleotides of different known length

each polynucleotide labeled with a different fluorescent dye having a distinctive spectral profile having a peak

wherein the lengths of the polynucleotides differ from one another such that, upon electrophoretic separation, the peak of the spectral profile of any one of the dyes does not significantly overlap the peak of the spectral profile of any of the other dyes

(summary of claim 8)

Smith et al. disclose a method to separate and detect tagged polynucleotide, comprising

(a) providing a plaurality of polynucleotides, each being tagged with a fluorophore, (b)

resolving to separate one of the plaurality of tagged polynucleotides from other tagged

polynucleotides differing by a single nucleotide using an electrophoretic procedure capable of

resolving tagged polynucleotides differing by a single nucleotide, and (c) detecting the resolved

tagged polynucleotides by means of the luorophore, wherein the tagged polynucleotides are

obtained from one of a set of primer extension reactions in which each of the tagged primer

oligonucleotides used in one of the sets is distinguishable by its spectral characteristics from the

tagged primer oligonucleotides used in the other sets (claims 1 and 8). However, Smith et al. do

not teach or fairly suggest a calibration standard comprising the use of at least four

Application/Control Number: 09/927,791

Art Unit: 1713

polynucleotides each having different known length and each being labeled with a different fluorescent dye having a distinctive spectral profile having a peak.

Ansorge et al. disclose a method to sequence nucleic acids, comprising (a) poviding a mixture of labeled nucleic acid fragments of different length with fluorescent dyes, (b) separating the labeled nucleic acid fragments according to size by gel electrophoresis, and (c) determining the nucleic acid sequence by labeling the individual fragments (col. 2, lines 28-40; col. 6, lines 36-40; claims 6, 9, 12). However, Ansorge et al. do not teach or fairly suggest a calibration standard comprising the use of at least four polynucleotides each having different known length and each being labeled with a different fluorescent dye having a distinctive spectral profile having a peak.

In light of the above discussion, it is evident as to why the present claims are patentable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner=s

Application/Control Number: 09/927,791

Art Unit: 1713

supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Lichi

LING-SUI CHO!
PRIMARY EXAMINED

April 4, 2005

Page 5